Is climate change adaptation all about water?

International conference | 25-26 April 2023 | Brussels

Steven Broekx - VITO

The economics of NBS in climate change adaptation

What we should do

... and why we do not always do it.

Expected economic impact of climate change

🦟 vito

EVALUATION OF THE SOCIO-ECONOMIC IMPACT OF CLIMATE CHANGE IN BELGIUM

STUDY COMMISSIONED BY THE NATIONAL CLIMATE COMMISSION

Final Report

Koen De Ridder¹, Koen Couderë², Mathieu Depoorter³, Inge Liekens³, Xavier Pourria³, David Steinmetz³, Eline Vanuytrecht³, Katelijne Verhaegen³, Hendrik Wouters¹

¹ VITO ² KENTER ¹ ECORES

July 2020 (2020/RMA/R/2271)





- Costs of climate change: 9,5 billion € per year in 2050, 2% of GDP
- Main costs:
 - Health impact and reduced labour productivity due to heat stress
 - Material damages due to floods
 - Drought impact agriculture
 - Transboundary effects, biodiversity

The Flanders Sigmaplan



∎Bruxelles





The Flanders Sigmaplan



	Costs	Benefits	Other	Pay back
Storm surge barrier	387	727	- 1	41
Higher Dykes	240	691	-	27
Floodplains 1/4000	233	733	+ 6	20
Risk based 'optimal' combination floodplains + dykes	139	730	+33	13

Broekx, S., Smets, S., Liekens, I. et al. Designing a long-term flood risk management plan for the Scheldt estuary using a risk-based approach. Nat Hazards 57, 245–266 (2011). https://doi.org/10.1007/s11069-010-9610-x

The Flanders Sigmaplan – we are doing it.



Overview of ongoing projects – www.sigmaplan.be

Flemish adaptation policy

Impact category	Expected damages due to climate change	Benefit cost ratios of measures	Importance co- benefits in assessments of total benefits	Foreseen investments Flemish authorities	Main role of Flemish authority
Coastal zone management	++	10	+	+++	Implementor
Fluvial flooding (big rivers)	++	1 – 5	+	+++	Implementor
Droughts and water scarcity	++	unknown	++	++	Facilitator
Pluvial flooding (heavy rainfall in urban areas)	++	0.5 - 2	++	+	Facilitator
Heat stress	+++	unknown	++	+	Facilitator

We are not doing enough in some areas

Why do we not invest more in NBS?



"If you possess so much natural capital, why do you need additional funding?"

Return on investment rainwater infiltration

My return on investment rainwater storage tank

- Costs: 3.000 €
- Subsidy: 500 €
- Savings on water bill: 30 m³ (toilet, washing machine) x 4,3€/m³ = 129€
- Pay back time: 19 years

My return on investment nature-based infiltration measures: 0

Societal benefits

- Protection water resources (avoid scarcity cost)
- Reduced pollution from combined sewage overflows
- Flood risk prevention



Possible strategies

Implementation of more NBS is a challenge, but feasible.

Combination of measures:

- We need green <u>and</u> grey infrastructure.
- Better demonstrate and communicate benefits of NBS as a costeffective solution. Be realistic.
- A longterm financing strategy. Reform of economic instruments to provide a bigger stimulus to invest in NBS:
 - Reform water tariffication systems, infiltration bonus, solidarity mechanism,...
 - Synergies: health, insurance sector, biodiversity policy, spatial planning

More information?

- steven.broekx@vito.be
- <u>https://www.natuurwaardeverkenner.be/</u>
- <u>https://klimaat.vmm.be/</u>
- <u>https://klimaat.be/news/2020/socio-economische-impact-in-belgie</u>
- <u>https://remotesensing.vito.be/case/natural-capital-accounting</u>
- <u>https://papbio.vito.be/</u>