

BXL-13463 – Questions and Answers

| N° | Questions | Answers |
|----|---|---|
| 1 | We understand from the ToR that the 20 days for potential missions would come in addition to the 120 days for the overall assignment. Could you confirm this is the case ? If so, should it be presented separately in the offer, as an option to be activated in the inception phase ? | <p>The 20 days of potential site visits comes in addition to the 120-day mission duration. The conduct of these visits depends on their significance in achieving the objectives of the overall evaluation mission, according to your explanation in your proposed methodology.</p> <p style="text-align: center;"><i>P.19 - point 2.e : Demonstrates and justifies the value of arranging site visits for potential case studies</i></p> <p>Conducting these field missions should be foreseen as a possible scenario which performance is to be discussed during the execution of the contract.</p> |
| 2 | The ToR refers to Monday 4th September 2023 at 12pm as the submission deadline. Could you confirm our understanding that 12pm refers to midnight on the night between Monday and Tuesday (not noon on Monday) | The ToR refers to Monday 4th September 2023 at 12h00 (UTC+2), so noon and not midnight. |
| 3 | Can we provide links to the reports (for the writing skills EN/FR for Lead Senior Expert and also for our references)? So that the file is not too large and can be sent by e-mail. | Yes, in order to easily send your application by email, you can provide us with links that allow us to download the mentioned reports. |
| 4 | The ToR refer to the extended version (57 pages) of Enabel 2030 strategy. However, to our knowledge, only the short version is available on Enabel’s website. Can the long version be shared with the tenderers ? | <p>Yes. This is a link to download the extended version of Enabel 2030 strategy in french and English.</p> <p>Enabel’s strategy 2030 : Extended version</p> |

| | | |
|---|---|---|
| | | |
| 5 | <p>The ToR section 3.4.7.2 “Award criteria” at pages 18-19 describes the qualitative criteria for the assessment of tenders. Could you please clarify the following points regarding this section: Proof for point 1 is provided by “A description of maximum 2 pages of a short evaluation roadmap describing the evaluation design”</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Could you please clarify what you mean by “evaluation roadmap” ? | <p>Evaluation roadmap : Refers to a plan detailing the various stages and key steps of the evaluation to be conducted.</p> |
| 6 | <p>According to point 2.C (p.19), “the methodology must include the elements and principles described in the “evaluation criteria” section”</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Does this refer to section 5.8 of the ToR (OECD DAC criteria) ? | <p>The “Evaluation criteria” section refers to section 5.8 on page 40 of the tender specification document.</p> <p>“The analysis will be carried out from the perspective of OECD-DAC criteria of relevance and coherence.”</p> |
| 7 | <p>In the paragraph mentioning the required proof for point 2 (p.19), the ToR mentions that “the methodology will be evaluated according to the appreciation elements listed under points b), c)”.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Could you please clarify what are the “appreciation elements” this sentence refers to ? | <p>The “appreciation elements” this sentence refers to are actually all the criteria listed under point a, b, c, d ,e.</p> |